



**PATENT**

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

**In re Application of:**

**Goulmy et al.**

**Serial No.: 09/489,760**

**Filed: January 21, 2000**

**For: THE HA-1 ANTIGEN**

**Confirmation No.: 6225**

**Examiner: P. Huynh**

**Group Art Unit: 1644**

**Attorney Docket No.: 2183-4285US**

**NOTICE OF EXPRESS MAILING**

Express Mail Mailing Label Number: EV 326919482US

Date of Deposit with USPS: February 5, 2004

Person making Deposit: Christopher Haughton

RECEIVED  
MAR - 9 2004  
LICENSING & REVIEW

**PETITION FOR A RETROACTIVE LICENSE  
UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 184**

Mail Stop Petition  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

The applicants hereby petition for a retroactive license under 35 U.S.C. § 184 in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 5.14(a) for the referenced US application.

02/10/2004 MGE BREH1 00000192 201469 09489760  
01 FC:1460 130.00 DA

The applicants request retroactive foreign filing licenses for the following applications:

Foreign Country	Filing Date	Application Number (Patent Number)
European Patent Office	July 23, 1997	EP 97202303.0
Netherlands	July 23, 1998	PCT/NL98/00424
Japan	July 23, 1998	JP19982000504165 (JP2001510851T2)
European: Austria, Belgium, Switzerland, Germany, Denmark, Spain, France, United Kingdom, Greece, Ireland, Italy, Liechtenstein, Luxembourg, Monaco, Netherlands, Portugal, and Sweden	July 23, 1998	EP1998000936758 (EP0996636)
Australia	July 23, 1998	AU1998199885640 (AU8564098A1)
Australia	July 23, 1998	AU1998199885639 (AU8563998A1)
Australia	July 23, 1998	AU1998000085639 (AU0756962B2)

The first filing is the priority document, EP 97202303.0, filed July 23, 1997, now abandoned. Within one year of the priority document an international PCT application was filed, PCT/NL98/00424, filed July 23, 1998, designating all of the member states and regional offices. The Japanese, European and Australian patents referenced herein represent national entries of the PCT application.

A declaration by Dr. Victor H. Engelhard is attached herewith, avering to diligence in obtaining the retroactive foreign filing license. A declaration by Dr. Donald F. Hunt will be

submitted as soon as it becomes available.

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 5.25(a)(3)(ii) and (iii), the foreign filing of the priority document and the international PCT application, as well as the national entries effected from the international filing, were prepared and filed by the Assignee, Rijksuniversiteit te Leiden, a University in the Netherlands, through European counsel, who were unfamiliar with US Patent Law and the requirement of a foreign filing license. Therefore, until the international application entered the United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO), there was no knowledge of the pertinent law and facts (Patent Office Rules and Practice Rule 1.14, section VII) (copy attached). Thus, the possible need for a foreign filing license did not become apparent until at least the entry of the application into the USPTO (*In re Application* filed November 22, 1952, 837 O.G. 1046, 153 U.S.P.Q. 410 (Comm'r Pat. and Trademarks 1967)), which explains why the material was filed abroad (in the Assignee's and an inventor's home country) through error and without deceptive intent, without the required license (37 C.F.R. § 5.25 (a)(3)(iii)).

It is submitted that diligence in obtaining a retroactive foreign filing license is shown by the present Petition for a Retroactive License concurrently with the payment of the issue fee and prior to issuance of a patent. Furthermore, negotiations involving issues surrounding ownership of the invention were ongoing since filing of the application. The ownership issue evidently precluded or hindered the ability to determine if a foreign filing license was in fact required and the proper parties for such a license. In particular, if the parties from the US were not co-inventors, then no foreign filing license would be necessary.

As indicated in the attached declaration, the potential need for a retroactive foreign filing license and a declaration was inadvertently not communicated to the inventors. Upon learning of the possible need for a foreign filing license, the inventor, Dr. Victor H. Engelhard, diligently executed the attached declaration.

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 5.25(a)(3)(ii), the present petition for a retroactive filing license is being filed concurrently with the payment of the issue fee. Thus, the resolution of the foreign filing license is being sought before the grant of a U.S. Patent "in order that the status of the patent may be known with certainty" (Patent Office Rules and Practice Rule 14, section V, citing *Beckman Instruments, Inc. v. Coleman Instruments, Inc.*, 143 USPQ 278 (7th Cir. 1964)).

Applicants submit that under the circumstances of the present case, for example, resolution of ownership and inventorship, as well as, prosecution by the assignee, the Rijksuniversiteit te Leiden, through European associates, diligence has been pursued in obtaining a retroactive foreign filing license.

Respectfully submitted,



G. Scott Dorland, Ph.D.  
Registration No. 51,622  
Attorney for Applicants  
TRASKBRITT, P.C.  
P.O. Box 2550  
Salt Lake City, Utah 84110-2550  
Telephone: 801-532-1922

Date: February 5, 2004  
GSD/gsd